

# Path Integral Approach to the Propagator for a Charged Particle in a Time-Dependent Electromagnetic Field<sup>1</sup>

Jing-Bo XU, Yu-Jun ZHAO and Xiao-Chun GAO

Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

(Received June 9, 1993)

### Abstract

*A path integral method is used to study the time evolution of a three-dimensional time-dependent system. The propagator for a charged particle in a time-dependent magnetic field and quadrupolar electric potential is obtained.*

The study of the time evolution of the time-dependent systems has long been of interest. The systems with the time-dependent Hamiltonians were investigated through various methods.<sup>[1-8]</sup> The recent discovery of quantum Hall effect and high- $T_c$  superconductivity has stimulated the interest of studying quantum mechanics of nonrelativistic particle moving in magnetic fields.<sup>[9-10]</sup> Using the  $\zeta$ -function method, Farina and Gamboa<sup>[10]</sup> obtained the propagator for a harmonically bound charged particle in a constant magnetic field. More recently, Gheorghe and Vedel<sup>[11]</sup> studied the time evolution of an ion in a trap with a constant magnetic field and a quadrupolar electric potential. In Refs [7] and [8], a path integral method was applied to the study of a one-dimensional system. In this paper, the method is generalized and used to study the time evolution of a three-dimensional time-dependent system. We find the exact propagator for a charged particle in a time-dependent magnetic field and quadrupolar electric potential. As the magnetic field becomes time-independent, the result obtained in the present paper is in agreement with that of Refs [10] and [11].

The Hamiltonian for a charged particle in a time-dependent magnetic field  $\vec{B}(t) = B(t)\hat{e}_3$  ( $\hat{e}_3$  is unit vector for 3-axis) and a quadrupolar electric potential  $\phi(\vec{x}, t) = A(t)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_3^2)$  is

$$H(t) = \frac{[\vec{p} - q\vec{B}(t) \times \vec{x}]^2}{(2m)} + q\phi(\vec{x}, t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{x} = \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j \hat{e}_j$  is the coordinate and  $\vec{p} = \sum_{j=1}^3 p_j \hat{e}_j$  is the momentum of a particle with charge  $q$  and mass  $m$ . As the magnetic field becomes time-independent, the Hamiltonian (1) reduces to the Hamiltonian in Ref. [11]. The Hamiltonian (1) can be rewritten as

$$H(t) = H_1(t) + H_2(t), \quad (2)$$

where

$$H_1(t) = \frac{p_3^2}{2m} + \frac{ma(t)x_3^2}{2}, \quad a(t) = \frac{-4qA(t)}{m}, \quad (3)$$

$$H_2(t) = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2m} + \frac{mb(t)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{2} + \frac{\omega(t)(x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1)}{2}, \quad (4)$$

$$b(t) = \frac{-4qA(t)}{m} - \frac{qB(t)}{4m}, \quad \omega(t) = \frac{-qB(t)}{m},$$

<sup>1</sup>The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China.

In the canonical formulation of the path integrals, the propagator of the system can be expressed as

$$K(\vec{x}_f, t_f; \vec{x}_i, t_i) = K_1(x_{3f}, t_f; x_{3i}, t_i) K_2(x_{2f}, x_{1f}, t_f; x_{2i}, x_{1i}, t_i) \\ = \int \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{D}x_j \mathcal{D}p_j \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 p_j \dot{x}_j - H_1(t) - H_2(t) \right] dt \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\dot{x}_j = dx_j/dt$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). Using the method in Refs [7] and [8], it is easy to obtain the propagator corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $H_1(t)$

$$K_1(x_{3f}, t_f; x_{3i}, t_i) = \left( \frac{m}{2i\hbar\pi\tau_1 v_i v_f} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left[ \frac{im}{2\hbar} \left( \frac{\dot{v}_f x_{3f}^2}{v_f} - \frac{\dot{v}_i x_{3i}^2}{v_i} \right) \right] \\ \times \exp \left[ \frac{im}{2\hbar\tau_1} \left( \frac{x_{3f}}{v_f} - \frac{x_{3i}}{v_i} \right)^2 \right], \quad (6)$$

where

$$v_i = v(t_i), \quad v_f = v(t_f), \quad \tau_1 = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} v^{-2}(t) dt$$

and  $v(t)$  is the solution of the following equation

$$\ddot{v}(t) + a(t)v(t) = 0. \quad (7)$$

We then try to find a transformation which can transform the system with Hamiltonian  $H_2(t)$  into a two-dimensional free particle. Let us consider the following transformation which is the generalization of the canonical transformation in Refs [7] and [8]

$$(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2, t) \longrightarrow (Q_1, Q_2, P_1, P_2, \tau), \\ x_1 = u(t)[Q_1 \cos \alpha(t) - Q_2 \sin \alpha(t)], \quad x_2 = u(t)[Q_1 \sin \alpha(t) + Q_2 \cos \alpha(t)], \\ p_1 = \frac{[P_1 \cos \alpha(t) - P_2 \sin \alpha(t)] + m\dot{u}(t)x_1}{u(t)}, \quad (8) \\ p_2 = \frac{[P_1 \sin \alpha(t) + P_2 \cos \alpha(t)] + m\dot{u}(t)x_2}{u(t)}, \quad d\tau = \frac{dt}{u^2(t)},$$

where  $u(t)$  and  $\alpha(t)$  are functions to be determined. From Eqs (8), we can see clearly that the transformation  $(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2) \longrightarrow (Q_1, Q_2, P_1, P_2)$  is nothing but a time-dependent canonical transformation with the generating function

$$F_2(x_1, x_2, P_1, P_2, t) = \frac{x_1 P_1 \cos \alpha(t) - x_1 P_2 \sin \alpha(t) + x_2 P_1 \sin \alpha(t) + x_2 P_2 \cos \alpha(t)}{u(t)} \\ + m \left[ \frac{\dot{u}(t)}{u(t)} \right] \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}. \quad (9)$$

Using the discussion similar to that in Refs [7] and [8], we obtain the relation between the measures

$$\mathcal{D}x_1 \mathcal{D}x_2 \mathcal{D}p_1 \mathcal{D}p_2 = (u_i u_f)^{-1} \mathcal{D}Q_1 \mathcal{D}Q_2 \mathcal{D}P_1 \mathcal{D}P_2, \quad (10)$$

by making use of Eqs (8) and (10), the propagator  $K_2(x_{2f}, x_{1f}, t_f; x_{2i}, x_{1i}, t_i)$  can be rewritten as

$$K_2(x_{2f}, x_{1f}, t_f; x_{2i}, x_{1i}, t_i) = (u_i u_f)^{-1} \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{2\hbar} [u_f \dot{u}_f (Q_{2f}^2 + Q_{1f}^2) - u_i \dot{u}_i (Q_{2i}^2 + Q_{1i}^2)] \right\} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}Q_1 \mathcal{D}Q_2 \mathcal{D}P_1 \mathcal{D}P_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} \left[ P_1 Q_1' + P_2 Q_2' - \frac{(P_1^2 + P_2^2)}{2m} \right] d\tau \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$Q'_j = \frac{dQ_j}{d\tau} \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad \alpha(t) = \int_0^t \left[ \frac{qB(t')}{2m} \right] dt',$$

and  $u(t)$  is the solution of the following equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + b(t)u(t) = 0. \quad (12)$$

From Eq. (11), it is easy to see that the propagator

$$\int \mathcal{D}Q_1 \mathcal{D}Q_2 \mathcal{D}P_1 \mathcal{D}P_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} \left[ P_1 Q'_1 + P_2 Q'_2 - \frac{P_1^2 + P_2^2}{2m} \right] d\tau \right\}$$

is nothing but that propagator for the two-dimensional free particle. Thus, we can get immediately

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D}Q_1 \mathcal{D}Q_2 \mathcal{D}P_1 \mathcal{D}P_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} \left[ P_1 Q'_1 + P_2 Q'_2 - \frac{P_1^2 + P_2^2}{2m} \right] d\tau \right\} \\ &= \frac{m}{2i\hbar\pi\tau_2} \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{2\hbar\tau_2} [(Q_{1f} - Q_{1i})^2 + (Q_{2f} - Q_{2i})^2] \right\}, \quad (13) \\ & \tau_2 = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} u^{-2}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(x_{2f}, x_{1f}, t_f; x_{2i}, x_{1i}, t_i) &= \left( \frac{m}{2i\hbar\pi u_i u_f \tau_2} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{2\hbar} \left[ \frac{\dot{u}_f}{u_f} (x_{1f}^2 + x_{2f}^2) - \frac{\dot{u}_i}{u_i} (x_{1i}^2 + x_{2i}^2) \right] \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{2\hbar\tau_2} \left[ \frac{1}{u_f^2} (x_{1f}^2 + x_{2f}^2) + \frac{1}{u_i^2} (x_{1i}^2 + x_{2i}^2) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{2}{(u_i u_f)} (x_{1f} x_{1i} + x_{2f} x_{2i}) \cos \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \frac{qB(t)}{2m} dt \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{2}{(u_i u_f)} (x_{1f} x_{2i} - x_{2f} x_{1i}) \sin \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \frac{qB(t)}{2m} dt \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the propagator

$$K(\vec{x}_f, t_f; \vec{x}_i, t_i) = K_1(x_{3f}, t_f; x_{3i}, t_i) K_2(x_{2f}, x_{1f}, t_f; x_{2i}, x_{1i}, t_i)$$

satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(\vec{x}_f, t_f; \vec{x}_i, t_i) = \hat{H}(t) K(\vec{x}_f, t_f; \vec{x}_i, t_i), \quad (15)$$

where  $\hat{H}(t)$  is the quantum Hamiltonian corresponding to the classical Hamiltonian (1).

When  $\omega(t) = \omega_c = eB_0/m$ ,  $a(t) = b(t) = \omega_0^2$ , the system studied in the present paper reduces to the system with Hamiltonian

$$H' = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_0^2 x_j^2}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{eB_0}{2m} \right) (x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1), \quad (16)$$

which was discussed in Ref. [10]. It is straightforward to show that  $u(t) = v(t) = \cos \omega_0 t$  is a particular solution of Eqs (7) and (12). Therefore, the propagator corresponding to

Hamiltonian (16) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\vec{x}_f, t; \vec{x}_i, 0) = & \left( \frac{m\omega_0}{2i\hbar\pi \sin \omega_0 t} \right)^{3/2} \\
 & \times \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{im\omega_0}{2\hbar} \right) \left[ \text{ctg} \omega_0 t (x_{1f}^2 + x_{2f}^2 + x_{3f}^2 + x_{1i}^2 + x_{2i}^2 + x_{3i}^2) \right. \right. \\
 & - \frac{2 \cos(\omega_c t/2)}{\sin \omega_0 t} (x_{1i} x_{1f} + x_{2i} x_{2f}) \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{2 \sin(\omega_c t/2)}{\sin \omega_0 t} (x_{1f} x_{2i} - x_{1i} x_{2f}) - 2x_{3i} x_{3f} \right] \right\}. \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

This result is in agreement with that obtained in Ref. [10].

As a concluding remark, it is worth while to emphasize that using the propagator obtained in the present paper and the method used in Ref. [8], it is easy to construct the coherent states for the system with Hamiltonian (1).

## References

- [1] C.M. Cheng and P.C.W. Fung, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** (1988) 4115.
- [2] F.M. Fernandez, *Phys. Rev.* **A40** (1989) 41.
- [3] G. Dattloi *et al.*, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 2856.
- [4] H.R. Lewis and W.B. Riesenfeld, *J. Math. Phys.* **10** (1969) 1458.
- [5] Jing-Bo XU, Tie-Zheng QIAN and Xiao-Chun GAO, *Phys. Rev.* **A44** (1991) 1485.
- [6] S.S. Mizrahi, *Phys. Lett.* **A138** (1989) 465; Xiao-Chun GAO, Jing-Bo XU and Tie-Zheng QIAN, *Phys. Rev.* **A44** (1991) 7016; *Phys. Lett.* **A152** (1991) 449.
- [7] L. Chetouani, L. Guechi and T.F. Hammann, *Phys. Rev.* **A40** (1989) 1157.
- [8] Jing-Bo XU, Jing-Ming BAO and Xiao-Chun GAO, *Can. J. Phys.* **70** (1992) 637.
- [9] *Geometric Phases in Physics*, ed. A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, World Scientific, Singapore (1989).
- [10] C. Faring and J. Gamboa, *Phys. Lett.* **A158** (1991) 189.
- [11] V.N. Gheorghe and F. Vedel, *Phys. Rev.* **A45** (1992) 4828.